

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/070,447 03/20/2002		03/20/2002	Hiroyuki Yoshida	107348-00219	7039
4372	7590	04/22/2003			
		NER PLOTKIN	EXAMINER		
SUITE 400		AVENUE, N.W.	NGUYEN, TU MINH		
WASHING	ron, DC	20036	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3748	1
				DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/070,447 Applicant(s)

Yoshida et al.

Examiner

Tu M. Nguyen

Art Unit **3748** 

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
	or Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the							
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	date of this communication.  Beriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the  Beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply ar  to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the  ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of th  patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will e: applica	xpire SIX (6) N ition to becom	MONTHS fr BANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. INED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 7, 200	23		_	·		
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This acti	on is	non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex par						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>				is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)				is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 💢	Claim(s) 1 and 2				is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 3-6				is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)				is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims		are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on Mar 20, 2002 is/are	a) 💢	accepted	or b)[	$\square$ objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on		is:	a) 🗌 a	pproved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	o this	Office act	on.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:							
	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e beei	n received	•			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. \( \overline{\times} \) Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bures as the extended detailed Office action for a list of the	au (PC	CT Rule 17	7.2(a)).			
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachm		P011	.,	J 0.0.	5. 55 . E0 0/10/01 . E 11		
	ortice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Surr	mary (PTC	0-413} Paper No(s).		
2) No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5}	Notice of Info	mal Patent	Application (PTO-152)		
3) 🔲 Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6)	Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/070,447

Art Unit: 3748

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. An Applicant's Amendment filed on February 7, 2003 has been entered. Claims 1, 3, and 6 have been amended. Overall, claims 1-6 are pending in this application.

The arguments with respect to the references applied in the previous Office Action were deemed persuasive; accordingly, a new non-final rejection is set forth below.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al. (U.S. Patent 6,053,785) in view of Nakase et al. (U.S. Patent 4,811,560).

Re claim 3, as shown in Figure 5, Kato et al. disclose an exhaust passage structure in an outboard engine system in which a catalytic converter (83) for purifying an exhaust gas discharged from an engine (14) is mounted in an exhaust passage for guiding the exhaust gas,

wherein at least a portion of the exhaust passage is integrally formed in a case member (15) which is disposed under an engine block (33) to accommodate a drive shaft (21) therein for

Application/Control Number: 10/070,447

Art Unit: 3748

transmitting a driving force from the engine (14) to a propeller (24); the catalytic converter (83) is disposed in a space surrounded by the case member (15).

Kato et al., however, fail to disclose that the structure further comprises a connection into which the exhaust passage opens is formed in a side wall of the case member; and a lid detachably coupled to the connection.

As illustrated in Figures 4 and 7, Nakase et al. teach an exhaust system for marine propulsion, that includes an extending expansion chamber (this chamber shown in Figure 7 is an extension of a chamber (58)) formed in a side wall of a case member (47); the expansion chamber comprises a connection (a plane where numeral (63) is located) into which an exhaust passage opens is formed and extend into and a lid (61) detachably coupled to the connection. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to have designed and built the expansion chamber taught by Nakase et al. into the structure of Kato et al., since the application thereof would have extended an exhaust passage to prevent the likelihood of water reentering the engine (see the Abstract of Nakase et al.).

Re claim 4, in the modified structure of Kato et al., the catalytic converter (83) is supported on the lid ((61) in Nakase et al.).

Re claim 5, in the modified structure of Kato et al., the catalytic converter (83) is supported on the case member (15).

Application/Control Number: 10/070,447

Art Unit: 3748

Re claim 6, as shown in Figures 4 and 5, Kato et al. disclose an exhaust passage structure in an outboard engine system in which a catalytic converter (83) for purifying an exhaust gas discharged from an engine (14) is mounted in an exhaust passage for guiding the exhaust gas,

wherein at least a portion of the exhaust passage and an oil pan (107) for restoring a lubricating oil for the engine (14) are integrally formed in a case member (15) which is disposed under an engine block (33) to accommodate a drive shaft (21) therein for transmitting a driving force from the engine (14) to a propeller (24); the catalytic converter (83) is disposed in a space surrounded by the case member (15).

With regard to the preamble directed to a 4-cycle engine, a preamble to a claim is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the preamble is a self contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. See Kropa v. Robie, supra at 480. See also Ex parte Mott, 190 USPQ 311, 313 (PTO Bd. of App. 1975). Clearly, the pending claim 6 does not rely on the preamble for completeness.

Kato et al., however, fail to disclose that the structure further comprises a connection into which the exhaust passage opens is formed in a side wall of the case member; and a lid detachably coupled to the connection.

As illustrated in Figures 4 and 7, Nakase et al. teach an exhaust system for marine propulsion, that includes an extending expansion chamber (this chamber shown in Figure 7 is an extension of a chamber (58)) formed in a side wall of a case member (47); the expansion chamber Application/Control Number: 10/070,447 Page 5

Art Unit: 3748

comprises a connection (a plane where numeral (63) is located) into which an exhaust passage opens is formed and extend into and a lid (61) detachably coupled to the connection. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to have designed and built the expansion chamber taught by Nakase et al. into the structure of Kato et al., since the application thereof would have extended an exhaust passage to prevent the likelihood of water reentering the engine (see the Abstract of Nakase et al.).

# Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1 and 2 are allowed.

# Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the references applied in the previous Office Action have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

النه

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/070,447

Art Unit: 3748

Communication

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2833.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Mr. Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (703) 308-2623. The fax phone number for this group

is (703) 308-7763.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

**TMN** 

April 20, 2003

Tu M. Nguyen

Tu M. Nguyen

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3748

THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700**